## Latest Results from the PAMELA Space Experiment

Emiliano Mocchiutti INFN Trieste, Italy

On behalf of the PAMELA collaboration

Neutrino Oscillation Workshop Conca Specchiulla - Otranto September 9, 2010





### **Presentation outline**

Introduction

PAMELA scientific goals and apparatus

Latest PAMELA results on:

 antiparticles (antiprotons and positrons)
 galactic H and He spectra
 B/C
 electrons (e<sup>-</sup>) spectrum
 sub-cutoff spectra

Summary







## Scientific goals

- Search for dark matter annihilation
- Search for antihelium (primordial antimatter)
- Study of cosmic-ray propagation (light nuclei) and isotopes)
- Study of electron spectrum (local sources?)
- Study solar physics and solar modulation
- Study terrestrial magnetosphere



## PAMELA apparatus





### **PAMELA** detectors

(CAT)

SPECTROMETE

CALORIMETER

NEUTRON

DETECTOR



- Magnetic rigidity  $\rightarrow$  R = pc/Ze
- Charge sign
- Charge value from dE/dx



**Power Budget: 360W** 

## **Design Performance**

- Antiprotons
- Positrons
- Electrons
- Protons
- Electrons+positrons
- Light Nuclei (He/Be/C)
- Anti-Nuclei search

<u>energy range</u> 80 MeV - 190 GeV

50 MeV – 300 GeV

up to 500 GeV

up to 700 GeV

up to 2 TeV (calorimeter)

up to 200 GeV/n

sensitivity of 3x10<sup>-8</sup> in He/He

→ Simultaneous measurement of many cosmic-ray species

→ New energy range

**Unprecedented statistics** 





## **Resurs-DK1 satellite and orbit**





Paméla

• Resurs-DK1: multi-spectral imaging of earth's surface

• PAMELA mounted inside a pressurized container

• Launch 15/06/2006 - lifetime >3 years (assisted), extended till end 2011

- Data transmitted to NTsOMZ, Moscow via high-speed radio downlink. ~16 GB per day
- Quasi-polar and elliptical orbit (70.0°, 350 km 600 km)
- Traverses the South Atlantic Anomaly
- Crosses the outer (electron) Van Allen belt at south pole

## **Latest PAMELA results**





### Antiproton identification

- Analyzed data July 2006 January 2010 (~1200 days)
- Collected triggers ~10<sup>9</sup>
- Identified ~ 10<sup>8</sup> protons and ~ 10<sup>3</sup> antiprotons between
- 1.5 and 100 GeV more than 100 p-bar above 20GeV
- Antiproton/proton identification:
  - rigidity (R)  $\rightarrow$  SPE
  - |Z|=1 (dE/dx vs R)  $\rightarrow$  SPE&ToF
  - $\beta$  vs R consistent with  $M_p \rightarrow ToF$
  - p-bar/p separation (charge sign)  $\rightarrow$  SPE
  - p-bar/e<sup>-</sup> (and p/e<sup>+</sup>) separation  $\rightarrow$  CALO

• Dominant background → spillover protons:

finite deflection resolution of the SPE
 ⇒ wrong assignment of charge-sign @ high energy

#### → Strong SPE selection required









#### **PAMELA** antiproton to proton ratio



#### **PAMELA** antiproton spectrum

Donato et al. - ApJ 563 (2001) 172 antiproton flux [GeV m<sup>2</sup> s sr]<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>-2</sup> **0**<sup>-3</sup> AMS (M. Aguilar et al.) ж BESS-polar04 (K. Abe et al.) BESS1999 (Y. Asaoka et al.) BESS2000 (Y. Asaoka et al.) 10<sup>-5</sup> CAPRICE1998 (M. Boezio et al.) CAPRICE1994 (M. Boezio et al.) Δ Ptuskin et al. PAMELA ApJ 642 (2006) 902 10 **10**<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>-1</sup> 1 10 10<sup>2</sup> Adriani et al., accepted for publication in PRLkinetic energy [GeV] INFN

Emiliano Mocchiutti, INFN Trieste - NOW2010 - Messengers of the Universe - September 9, 2010

13

**PameLa** 

### **Positron identification**

- Analyzed data July 2006 January 2010 (~1200 days)
- Collected triggers ~10<sup>8</sup>
- Identified ~ 10<sup>4</sup> electrons and positrons between 1.5 and 100 GeV – more than <u>180 positrons above 20GeV</u>

Electron/positron identification: • rigidity (R)  $\rightarrow$  SPE

- •|Z|=1 (dE/dx=MIP)  $\rightarrow$  SPE&ToF
- $\beta = 1 \rightarrow ToF$

• <u>e-/e+ separation (charge sign)  $\rightarrow$  SPE</u>

• e+/p (and e-/p-bar) separation  $\rightarrow$  CALO

<u>Dominant background → interacting protons</u>:

proton spectrum harder than positron  $\Rightarrow$  p/e+ increase for increasing energy (10<sup>3</sup> @1GV 10<sup>4</sup> @100GV)

#### **CALO** ΝΠ → Strong CALO selection required







#### **Positron selection**

Fraction of energy released along the track (left, hit, right) in the calorimeter



15

#### **Background estimation from data**

Fraction of energy released along the track (left, hit, right) in the calorimeter

**Pre-selections:** 

- Energy-momentum match
- Starting point of shower





NFN

16



## **Positron to Electron Fraction**



Paméla

N F N

# Extending the positron fraction measurement

Background suppression method, full calorimeter:

- No proton sample from flight data
- Simulations & Test beam data needed
- Strong selections to reject protons using TMVA (Toolkit for MultiVariate data Analysis)

"TMVA host large variety of multivariate classification algorithms - cut optimization with genetic algorithm, linear and non-linear discriminant and neural networks, support vector machine, boosted decision trees, ..."





## **Positron to Electron Fraction**

Adriani et al., Astropart. Phys. 34 (2010) 1 - arXiv:1001.3522



#### TMVA analysis for data with E>15 GeV

Emiliano Mocchiutti, INFN Trieste - NOW2010 - Messengers of the Universe - September 9, 2010



Inin

#### During first week after PAMELA results posted on arXiv (October 28, 2008)





0808.3725 DM 1. 0808.3867 DM 2. 0809.2409 DM PAMELA data cited by -500 papers on arXiv 4846 DM / pulsar (at present) 0810.5397 10. 0810.5557 DM 11. 0810.4147 DM 12. 13. 0811.0250 DM 0811.0477 DM 14.





#### Reasons for the positron fraction to rise

(slide adapted from I. Moskalenko talk, PAMELA Workshop, Rome, May 2009)

Main reason – primary positrons are perhaps unavoidable
 There is no deficit in papers explaining the PAMELA positron excess (>200 papers since Oct 2008!):

- Various species of the dark matter (~170)
- Pulsars
- SNRs
- Microquasar
- - - -

Perhaps we have to discuss a deficit of positrons, not their excess!

#### Unfortunately, they could be all wrong!

Reason – we do not know precisely the background and thus can't get an idea of the spectrum of the primary positron component





## **PAMELA Positron Fraction**







## Antiproton to proton ratio





Emiliano Mocchiutti, INFN Trieste - NOW2010 - Messengers of the Universe - September 9, 2010

23

## Galactic H and He spectra







24



## Galactic H and He spectra



Emiliano Mocchiutti, INFN Trieste - NOW2010 - Messengers of the Universe - September 9, 2010

-le



## Galactic H and He spectra



Emiliano Mocchiutti, INFN Trieste - NOW2010 - Messengers of the Universe - September 9, 2010



reliminan

## **PAMELA Positron Fraction**







## **PAMELA secondary nuclei**

#### LBM

 $\frac{N_{\rm S}}{M_{\rm esc}} \propto \lambda_{\rm esc} \cdot \sigma_{\rm P \rightarrow S}$ 

- B nuclei of secondary origin:  $CNO + ISM \rightarrow B + \dots$
- Local secondary/primary ratio sensitive to average amount of traversed matter  $(\lambda_{esc})$  from the source to the solar system

Local secondary abundance:  $\Rightarrow$  study of galactic CR propagation

(B/C used for tuning of propagation models)





## **PAMELA Positron Fraction**







# Theoretical uncertainties on "standard" positron fraction



T. Delahaye et al., arXiv: 0809.5268v3

Average of pre-PAMELA experiments:  $\gamma \sim 3.3$ 





## PAMELA electron (e<sup>-</sup>) spectrum





Emiliano Mocchiutti, INFN Trieste - NOW2010 - Messengers of the Universe - September 9, 2010



Preliminar

# Comments on electrons and positrons background

Background is not known precisely <u>but</u> the positron fraction is expected to decrease with increasing energy.

PAMELA is providing useful set of data needed to better understand the positron measurement, for the first time a single experiment is measuring (with same systematic errors) a wide set of data.





#### **Comparing pulsars with DM**

	Pulsars	Dark Matter
Known to exist?	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Free parameters	Many (order of 100 ?)	4 for PAMELA-consistent models. (2 for branching ratio between different leptons, Mass, E <sub>F</sub> )
Basic mechanism to give required flux known?	Maybe. (An unclear point is the escape probability – could be less than 1%)	Yes. Sommerfeld enhancement plus substructure boost
Predictions for electron spectrum	Should show some "bumpiness" due to different pulsars contributing	Should have universal shape at energies from 100 – 600 GeV, the high-energy spectrum will depend on where in the decay chain e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> are created
"Smoking gun" signature	Bumpiness, perhaps anisotropy (small, percent level)	Diffuse gamma-ray could show an excess starting between 100 – 300 GeV
Pamela Emiliano Mocchiutti, INFN Trieste - NOW2010 - Messengers of the Universe - September 9, 2010		

#### **Subcutoff particles spectra**



10-1

102

10

## <u>Summary</u>

PAMELA has been in orbit and studying cosmic rays for ~4 years. >10<sup>9</sup> triggers registered and >20 TB of data has been down-linked, mission extended up to end 2011.

Antiproton-to-proton flux ratio and antiproton energy spectrum (~100 MeV - ~200 GeV) show no significant deviations from secondary production expectations.

High energy positron fraction (>10 GeV) increases significantly (and unexpectedly!) with energy (primary source?)

Primary cosmic rays spectra show spectral features that may point to additional components (local source?)

Analysis ongoing to finalize and release latest data and to measure the e<sup>+</sup> spectrum up to ~300 GeV and the all electrum (e<sup>-</sup> + e<sup>+</sup>) spectrum up to ~1 TV.



